

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,903	10/12/2004	Paul E. Miller	71368-0069	5902
20915 7	590 12/12/2005		EXAM	INER
MCGARRY BAIR PC 171 MONROE AVENUE, N.W.			PHAN, THO GIA	
SUITE 600 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,903	MILLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tho G. Phan	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a construction will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONE, cause the application to become Alexandre	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	,				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solberg et al (6,249,261).

Solberg et al in figures 3-8 disclose a dipole antenna 284 wherein at least a portion of one pole of the dipole is formed of two separable pieces 202, connectable to each other at a junction 206 without significant signal loss through the junction and wherein the one pole of the dipole is formed of two separable pieces 202.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solberg et al in view of Stolle (6,509,815).

Solberg et al have been discussed above but fail to expressly teach the poles are formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or

located at the two separable pieces. However, Stolle in figure 1 discloses the poles (3'a,3''a) are formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or located at the two separable pieces (see figure 1, the feed point is at 46). It would have been obvious to employ the poles being formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or located at the two separable pieces as taught by Stolle for the purpose of improving impedance matching for the antenna gain.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-4 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Claim 1 is allowable over the art of record because the prior art does not teach the lower break assembly and upper break assembly being mutually connectable for connecting the transmission lines to each other at a junction, wherein the lower portion and upper portion, when joined, form one pole of a center-fed dipole radiator, and with the remaining claimed limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Gustafson, Petros and MacDougall are cited as of interested and illustrated a similar structure to a dipole antenna assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho G. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-1826. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho G Phan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2821